

## Forest fires in southern Turkey July-August 2021

Zahide Acar<sup>1</sup>, Barbaros Gonencgil<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Geography, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Canakkale, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> Department of Geography, Letters Faculty, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

Corresponding author: Zahide Acar, zacar@comu.edu.tr

(Recibido: 04-05-2023. Publicado: 20-06-2023.)

DOI: 10.59427/rccli/2023/v23.46-57

### Abstract

*Extreme weather events are experienced more frequently across the earth due to the effects of climate change. The high frequency of extreme weather events increases vulnerability in sensitive areas. In the Mediterranean Basin, which is one of the most sensitive areas, many countries have experienced wildfires in recent years. Turkey is one of the countries where forest fires occur frequently in the Mediterranean basin. Although the causes of the fire vary over the years, the problems caused by forest fires draw attention every year. Since 1990, there have been many forest fires across the country and the most areal losses caused by the Fires occurred in 1994, 2000 and 2008. The largest forest fires after these dates occurred in the summer of 2021. The area where Turkey is most sensitive to fire is the Mediterranean climate region. Most of the fires, especially in this area, occur in an unnatural way such as negligence-accident, unsolved and intentional. The control mechanism in the spatial expansion of fires mostly depends on atmospheric conditions. Within the scope of the study, it was aimed to draw attention to the atmospheric conditions during the period of wildfires in many parts of Turkey in the summer of 2021 for various reasons. According to the calculations, the air temperatures before the start of the fire in the provinces of Antalya and Muğla are above the long-term averages of the stations here. In addition, the effects of the heat wave were observed at many stations before the fire.*

**Keywords:** Wildfire, Mediterranean region, Turkey, Heatwave.

### Resumen

*Los fenómenos meteorológicos extremos se experimentan con mayor frecuencia en todo el mundo debido a los efectos del cambio climático. La alta frecuencia de fenómenos meteorológicos extremos aumenta la vulnerabilidad en zonas sensibles. En la cuenca del Mediterráneo, que es una de las áreas más sensibles, muchos países han experimentado incendios forestales en los últimos años. Turquía es uno de los países donde los incendios forestales se producen con frecuencia en la cuenca mediterránea. Aunque las causas de los incendios varían a lo largo de los años, los problemas ocasionados por los incendios forestales llaman la atención cada año. Desde 1990, ha habido muchos incendios forestales en todo el país y la mayor parte de las pérdidas de área causadas por los incendios ocurrieron en 1994, 2000 y 2008. Los incendios forestales más grandes después de estas fechas ocurrieron en el verano de 2021. El área donde Turquía es más sensible al fuego es la región de clima mediterráneo. La mayoría de los incendios, especialmente en esta zona, se producen de forma antinatural como negligencia-accidente, no resueltos e intencionados. El mecanismo de control en la expansión espacial de los incendios depende principalmente de las condiciones atmosféricas. Dentro del alcance del estudio, se pretendía llamar la atención sobre las condiciones atmosféricas durante el período de incendios forestales en muchas partes de Turquía en el verano de 2021 por varias razones. Según los cálculos, las temperaturas del aire antes del inicio del incendio en las provincias de Antalya y Muğla están por encima de los promedios a largo plazo de las estaciones aquí. Además, los efectos de la ola de calor se observaron en muchas estaciones antes del incendio.*

**Palabras claves:** Incendios forestales, región mediterránea, Turquía, ola de calor.

# 1 Introduction


2021 has been one of the years in which extreme temperatures were seen over the Mediterranean Basin as regards WMO. Turkey's Mediterranean coasts have also been affected by these extreme events, both due to the impact of global climate change and the characteristic features of the Mediterranean climate. Atmospheric disasters and the effects of these disasters are increasing every year. Today, atmospheric-based disasters cause more loss of life and property in Turkey. For example, the number of disasters experienced in 2020 was 321 earthquakes, 177 floods, and flashfloods and 270 storms, hail, extreme winter conditions, etc. are caused by climate-related events (AFAD, 2021). Atmospheric disasters are divided into two main groups meteorological and climatological disasters. Extreme temperatures constitute an important part of climatological disasters. However, an important form of climatological origin disasters is forest and wildfires. On the other hand, fires are a great risk for climate zones with high sensitivity, such as the Mediterranean climate.

A wildfire is an uncontrolled fire that occurs in rural or virgin land. It is a type of fire that includes different areas such as forest, scrub, bush, meadow, dwarf tree, and peat fire. It is a type of fire that affects large areas and is not easy to stop and can spread rapidly under atmospheric conditions. It is a type of fire that can easily break out under arid and semiarid climates and is mostly seen in Australia (Kaynak). However, there is a potential to be seen in other arid, semiarid areas of the earth. Compared to previous years, the south of Europe corresponds to the period after the 1970s, when wildfire frequency increased due to climate change and extreme events (Fernandes et al., 2010; Pausas and Fernandez-Munoz, 2012; Kovats et al., 2014). Mega fires triggered by extreme weather events have caused record burning areas in some Mediterranean countries in recent years. Most of these fires (approximately 55%) were caused by deliberated actions by people (San-Miguel-Ayaz et al., 2013). Mega fire events pose great threats to rural areas and their surroundings. Many researchers have also stated that atmospheric conditions had an intentional fire effect on wildfire events in Portugal, Spain, Italy, and Greece in recent years across the Mediterranean basin (Ex: San-Miguel-Ayaz et al., 2013; Vilar et al., 2009; Tedim et al., 2022; Baccui et al., 2021). In addition, wildfires are an important threat to the forests of Turkey, as in many parts of the Mediterranean Basin. Wildfires, which intensify in the summer months, seriously damage forest ecosystems. According to the evaluations, 60% of our country's forest areas are located in areas that are very sensitive to fire (Republic of Turkey Climate Change Action Plan 2011-2023, 2012; OGM, 2011; Ülgen et al., 2020). In addition, it is expected that the increase in air temperatures in future climate scenarios will increase the risk of a forest fire. In other words, increases in the length and severity of the hot and dry period in Turkey may also trigger wildfires.

According to the data of the Republic of Turkey Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, the total number of wildfires is 114,941 in 83 years from 1937 to the end of 2020. It has been recorded that a total of 1 million 711,973 hectares of land were burned in these fires in Turkey. This value is approximately 15 hectares per fire. However, it is observed that both the number of fires and the amount of burned area per fire have decreased towards the present day. As follows, a total of 90, 956 hectares of lands were burned in 26, 311 wildfires between 2011 and 2020. According to these records, the average burned area per fire has been 3.5 hectares in the last 10 years. However, wildfires, which were seen in a large part of Turkey in August 2021, causing damage to much larger areas, especially the rest of Muğla and Antalya Regional Directorates, reached the level of disaster with the effect of meteorological features. The wildfire started on 28 July 2021 in Manavgat, Antalya, and was brought under the control on 12 August 2021. In the "firestorm" that took place in many large and small areas between 28 July and 12 August, 8 people died, thousands of hectares of the forest area were burned, and many settlements were damaged. This "firestorm" ended on August 12, 2021, with the extinguishing of the fire in the Köyceğiz, Muğla. However, forest fires between 28 July and 12 August 2021 were recorded as wildfires with the highest loss of area in the history of forest fires in Turkey. Within the scope of this study, we aimed to examine the atmospheric conditions in the period when the fires were effective. The heatwave and the wind characteristics that increase the intensity of the hot air and the area of influence of the fire were evaluated in Muğla and Antalya provinces on 25 July and 15 August 2021. A total of 24688 hectares of forest area, the size of 34563 football fields, was burned in 35 wildfires that broke out within the boundaries of Muğla Regional Directorate during the examination dates. In the same period, a total of 304 hectares of forest area, the size of 425 football fields, was burned in 41 forest fires that broke out within the borders of Antalya regional directorate.

# 2 Methodology

In the scope of the study, the temperature and wind data of 63 meteorological stations were used in Antalya and Muğla provinces (Figure 1). Buishand's, Von Neumann's, and Pettitt homogeneity tests were applied for the daily temperatures of the stations with long-term data. In general, there is a significant increasing trend in the temperatures when the homogeneity noticeably deteriorates. The homogeneity of station temperatures has changed inhomogeneity after the 1990s (Table 1).



**Figure 1:** Geographical distribution of the stations used in the study and the location of the study area.

In another part of the study, we focused on the evaluation of atmospheric conditions in wildfire areas in 2021. For this purpose, we evaluated hourly temperature, wind direction, and speed, Isparta rawinsonde observations and meteorological maps (location, 700, 800, 500, hPa) between 25 July and 15 August 2021.

**Table 1:** Homogeneity tests result of the stations with long-term data for the months of June, July and August (Dark color indicates statistical significance).

Haziran	Pettitt's test			Buishand's test			von Neumann's test	
İstasyonlar	K	t	p-value	Q	t	p-value	N	p-value
Bodrum	1146	1994	<0.0001	23.67	1994	<0.0001	1.16	<0.0001
Muğla	1208	1989	<0.0001	22.56	1989	<0.0001	1.49	0.007
Dalaman	614	1992	0.000	16.77	1992	0.000	1.19	0.000
Fethiye	827	1994	0.000	17.01	1994	0.001	1.36	0.001
Datça	688	1992	<0.0001	19.92	1992	<0.0001	0.80	<0.0001
Marmaris	352	1996	0.055	9.74	1996	0.050	1.10	0.000
Antalya	1106	1976	<0.0001	21.32	1976	<0.0001	1.40	0.002
Alanya	994	1992	<0.0001	24.74	1992	<0.0001	0.65	<0.0001
Finike	566	1994	<0.0001	15.40	1995	0.000	1.22	0.001
Kaş	42	2009	0.367	3.02	2009	0.751	1.92	0.421
Milas	554	1996	<0.0001	16.44	1997	<0.0001	0.96	<0.0001
Yatağan	561	1993	<0.0001	17.73	1994	<0.0001	1.10	0.000
Köyceğiz	550	1996	<0.0001	15.59	1996	0.000	0.99	<0.0001
Korkuteli	488	1994	<0.0001	14.92	1994	0.000	1.18	0.001
Elmalı	586	1994	<0.0001	16.43	1994	0.000	1.36	0.004
Manavgat	494	1996	0.001	15.33	1996	0.000	1.48	0.025
Temmuz	Pettitt's test			Buishand's test			von Neumann's test	
İstasyonlar	K	t	p-value	Q	t	p-value	N	p-value
Bodrum	1190	1994	<0.0001	24.25	1994	<0.0001	0.84	<0.0001
Muğla	1476	1985	<0.0001	27.12	1985	<0.0001	0.94	<0.0001
Dalaman	608	1996	<0.0001	15.79	1996	0.000	1.40	0.008
Fethiye	789	1999	0.001	16.76	1996	0.001	1.07	<0.0001
Datça	647	1992	<0.0001	19.36	1992	<0.0001	0.82	<0.0001
Marmaris	272	1999	0.299	8.85	1967	0.097	1.06	<0.0001
Antalya	971	1976	0.001	18.88	1976	0.000	1.45	0.003
Alanya	950	1994	<0.0001	23.61	1994	<0.0001	0.66	<0.0001
Finike	498	1994	0.001	14.05	1994	0.001	1.03	<0.0001
Kaş	63	1999	0.751	4.42	1999	0.328	1.59	0.149
Milas	496	2001	0.000	15.41	2001	0.000	0.82	<0.0001
Yatağan	640	1995	<0.0001	20.06	1995	<0.0001	0.70	<0.0001
Köyceğiz	560	1999	<0.0001	16.01	1999	<0.0001	0.75	<0.0001
Korkuteli	604	1995	<0.0001	18.92	1995	<0.0001	0.86	<0.0001
Elmalı	670	1996	<0.0001	18.02	1996	<0.0001	1.12	0.000
Manavgat	334	1998	0.085	9.49	2000	0.064	1.26	0.002
Ağustos	Pettitt's test			Buishand's test			von Neumann's test	
İstasyonlar	K	t	p-value	Q	t	p-value	N	p-value
Bodrum	1142	1997	<0.0001	23.25	1997	<0.0001	1.00	<0.0001
Muğla	1227	1984	<0.0001	22.07	1997	<0.0001	1.17	<0.0001
Dalaman	638	1997	0.000	16.67	1997	<0.0001	1.31	0.002
Fethiye	740	1997	0.002	15.99	1997	0.001	1.24	0.000
Datça	717	1991	<0.0001	21.31	1991	<0.0001	0.76	<0.0001
Marmaris	425	2001	0.008	10.84	1967	0.021	0.79	<0.0001
Antalya	994	1979	0.001	18.84	1979	0.000	1.59	0.025
Alanya	892	1992	<0.0001	22.18	1992	<0.0001	0.71	<0.0001
Finike	582	1989	<0.0001	16.21	1989	0.000	1.55	0.040
Kaş	111	2009	0.025	7.17	2009	0.011	1.61	0.149
Milas	490	2001	0.001	12.13	2001	0.005	0.89	<0.0001
Yatağan	622	1997	<0.0001	19.49	1997	<0.0001	0.89	<0.0001
Köyceğiz	569	2001	<0.0001	11.66	2001	0.002	0.82	<0.0001
Korkuteli	606	1997	<0.0001	18.60	1997	<0.0001	1.08	0.000
Elmalı	514	1998	0.003	14.52	1998	0.001	1.37	0.005
Manavgat	401	2001	0.017	10.39	2001	0.028	0.97	<0.0001

Conrad and Pollak (1950) stated in their study that homogeneity, which is one of the main conditions in climate-related scientific studies, can only be evaluated as homogeneous in the case of climate-related changes. Since the inhomogeneity of the temperature data used in the study is related to the increasing trends in the minimum and maximum temperatures, these stations were used in the analyzes in the study. After the homogeneity tests, heatwaves were calculated in the long-term data of the stations. For this purpose, long-term daily temperature data and hourly temperature data for 2021 were used in the 63 meteorology stations. Antalya and Muğla provinces are the areas where summer temperatures are high in Turkey.


In heatwave studies, temperature data are usually ordered from largest to smallest, and evaluations are made by considering the distribution of the extreme data in the relevant seasons. Threshold values generally used in studies are 90% and 95% percentiles. The consecutive temperature data calculated according to threshold values is the second most basic condition of heatwaves. If the calculated high temperatures continue uninterruptedly for 5-6 days (90th percentile) or 3 days (95th percentile) according to the determined threshold values, depending on the effective atmosphere circulation, the temperatures increase for a long time or for a shorter time.

The heatwave index used in the study was determined as the days where the maximum temperature in the hot season is at the 95th percentile and above, for 3 consecutive days and above (Xu. et al., 2013; Tong et al., 2010; Basagaña et al., 2011). In the study, the threshold used for the heatwave is the 95th percentile. In addition, in order for the high temperature to be considered a heatwave, it is required to experience these high temperatures for at least 3 consecutive days. Only 14 of the stations used in this context have long-term temperature data, while the data at some of the other stations are approximately 10-15 years. The short duration data of the stations were used to ensure homogeneity in the spatial evaluation of the heatwave.

In the last part of the study, forest fire areas were evaluated in Antalya and Muğla provinces. It is intended to provide a standard for the display of forest fire observations. This data set is provided by NASA Near Real-Time VNP14IMGTDL\_NRT VIIRS 375 m Active Fire Detections. Therefore, data on forest fire areas in Turkey were obtained from (Schroeder et. al., 2014).

### 3 Results

When the distribution of the fires that took place is examined according to the causes, 47% of the fires were neglected, 32% were due to unknown reasons, 11% were natural and 10% were intentional 1997-2020 in Turkey (OGM, 2020). Negligence, vandalism, and fires of unknown origin are the causes of fire with the greatest spatial losses (Figure 2).



**Figure 2:** Total output according to cause of fire and burning forest area in 1997-2020.

One of the most important reasons triggering the development of fires is the atmospheric conditions and topographic features of the area. Forest areas often become vulnerable to fire due to atmospheric conditions. Especially during heatwaves, more areas can be affected by the fire. In addition, wind conditions have a great effect on increasing fragility depending on the topography. Foehn winds can strengthen the development of fire by causing increased sensitivity in forest areas.

In recent years, Mediterranean Basin has experienced increases in extreme temperatures and heatwaves (Stefanon et al., 2012; Erlat et al., 2021; Kuglitsch et al., 2010). Forest areas in the Mediterranean Basin


have sensitivity and vulnerability to variations in atmospheric conditions.

Within the scope of the study, the temporal changes of the heatwaves were evaluated in the past years. In addition, heatwaves and atmospheric conditions were explained at the time of the wildfires in 2021. For this purpose, the surface and the upper atmosphere data were used in the study.

### Temporal distributions of heatwaves

An increasing trend is observed in the year-to-year distribution of heatwaves. This increasing trend is similar to the increase in the summer night and day temperatures in Turkey and the Mediterranean basin. Especially since 1995, there has been a significant increase in the number of heatwaves in these areas. The 2007-2008 years were the most heatwaves in Turkey. The highest number of heatwaves in both provinces was experienced between 1998, 2007, 2008, and 2010. The maximum temperatures experienced during this period are above 39-40°C in many stations (Figure 3a).


Heatwaves are most experienced in July and August. In the south of Turkey, Antalya and Muğla experience at least 2 heatwaves each year. Their duration is between 3-4 days in some years and 5-7 days in some years. The similarity of the changes in the heat waves from year to year in these two areas displays that they developed under the influence of the same atmospheric circulation. However, due to the spatial variability of topographic features in Muğla, it is seen that heatwaves do not cause long-term high temperatures at every station (Figure 3b). Total heatwave events are experienced more in Antalya than in Muğla and these heatwaves cause higher temperatures in Antalya (Figure 3c).



**Figure 3:** Distribution of heatwaves in Muğla and Antalya provinces by years.

### Heatwave in July - August 2021

The maximum temperatures in Antalya and Muğla provinces were calculated to be above the 95th percentile as of July 26-27. Also, in most of the stations, these high temperatures are consecutive for 3 days or more. The high-temperature days were recorded before the start of the fires in the southern part of Turkey. One of the most important factors increasing the effect size of the fire disaster is the heatwave experienced synchronically (Figure 4).




**Figure 4:** The distribution of the maximum temperatures above the 95th percentile in the stations on 25 July and 15 August 2021 (The black line is the date of the fire that started in Manavgat).


The heatwave was experienced in all the stations around the southern part of Turkey, before the forest fire that started in Manavgat on July 28. As of 28 July, high surface air temperatures affected the initial conditions of the fire, and the fires, which broke out due to negligence, unknown, and vandalism, spread rapidly in the regions. In addition, it is enunciable that the circulation of the upper atmosphere in the fire areas has a great effect on the inadequacy of the forest fire interventions.

As of July 28, the development of a ridge from northern Africa to the Balkans and western Turkey is evident. In the area where the ridge is effective, high-pressure conditions are effective on the surface (Figure 5a). Subsidence air tends to heat adiabatically. A similar process continued in August and similar circulation conditions were effective in both the surface and upper atmosphere in the same region. At these levels, temperatures are also approximately  $-5^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The hot air, which penetrated towards the south of Europe, the Eastern Mediterranean, and Anatolia over Africa and the Arabian Peninsula, continued to strengthen its effect from time to time in mid-August (Figure 5b). Skew-T Log P diagram of Isparta rawinsonde station was used to evaluate the synoptic conditions in the forest fire areas. Isparta station is at  $37.75^{\circ}\text{N}$  latitude,  $30.55^{\circ}\text{E}$  longitude, and 997 meters elevation. According to the 00:00 observation on 28.07.2021, inversion developed at 705-700 hPa (3106-3178 m). The 705 hPa level (3106 m) is the condensation level of the station. At this level, the water vapor in the atmosphere condenses into a water droplet. However, the fact that the condensation level is so high can be explained by the low amount of moisture in the atmosphere. The wind speed is approximately 30 knots (55.56 km/h) at 700 hPa. Inversion was effective at 890-880 hPa (1095-1194 m) of Isparta station on 02.08.2021. The condensation level on rising air is approximately 679 hPa (3400 m). At this time, the activity of hot air masses that are weak in terms of moisture content, due to the effect of hot air intruding into the south of Europe and Anatolia through northern Africa and the Arabian Peninsula. Inversions at lower levels caused large-scale fire smoke to remain in the lower atmosphere in early August (Figure 6a&b).





**Figure 5:** Contour and isotherm at 00:00 GMT surface and 500 hPa level as a) 28.07.2021 & b) 02.08.2021.




**Figure 6:** Skew-T Log P diagrams of Isparta rawinsonde station on a) 28.07.2021 and b) 02.08.2021.

According to the distribution of wind directions and speeds of 300hPa, the westerly winds continue to flow eastward as the Hadley cell in the north of Algeria in the west of the Mediterranean basin. Winds blowing from the north of Libya to the northeast in the middle of the basin made a small wave from the west of Turkey and continued its flow towards the Caspian Sea. The speed of the wind, which is effective at 300hPa, is between 1.6 and 31.6 m/sec. The wind speed is approximately 30 m/sec over Turkey (Figure 7a).

According to Gönençgil (1993a), the foehn wind is not only a wind consisting of local conditions but should be in a medium-scale atmospheric circulation that is affected by orographic conditions and anticyclonic conditions of the upper atmosphere together. In Figures 5a and 5b, upper atmospheric conditions that fit this description have evolved appropriately. In particular, the circulation conditions mentioned in the Gönençgil (1990 and 1993b) studies show a significant improvement at wind flows of 700 hPa.

The pressure gradient between the effect of the monsoon circulation towards the Persian Gulf and the high-pressure center on the Black Sea caused the wind speeds to strengthen in places over Turkey. The flow directions of surface winds are mostly of nature to carry the character of the direction in which they blow (Figure 7b).

The speed of the northern sector wind, which is effective in the areas where the forest fires spread widely between 28 July and 12 August, is generally between 5-8 m/sec. This wind sometimes played a role in making fire interventions difficult in areas where it was effective at approximately 18-29 km/h (Figure 7c).



**Figure 7:** Wind directions and speeds at a)300hPa and b)700hPa c) 10m levels between on 28 July and 12 August 2021.

According to Gönençgil (1993a and 1993b) studies, the fact that winds stay above 10 m/sec is the first factor that prepares the blow-dry conditions. In addition, as mentioned in the same studies, when a cyclone enters the field through the Mediterranean Sea or Basra, the blow dryer character gains speed. On such days in Antalya and its surroundings, the prevailing wind directions in the ground and upper atmosphere are northern sectors.

It is also possible to see the strengthening gradient effect as etesian winds on the west coast of Turkey. In addition, the flows heading towards the Mediterranean Sea through Anatolia exceed the Taurus mountains and cause foehn winds in the areas of the Mediterranean coastal belt.


Etesian winds partially weaken the effect of the heatwave in Western Anatolia. In the Mediterranean coastal zone, especially around Antalya and Muğla, the blow-dry effect causes the hot air effect to intensify.

#### Spatial distribution of wildfires and wind characteristics of the area

The fires in 2021 can be considered as one of the fires that cause the most significant losses in Turkey. The fires started at different points simultaneously in the Manavgat district of Antalya on July 28. Fire areas are visualized according to the start dates of fires. The fires started simultaneously at many different points on the same day (Figure 8a). The reasons for the exit of the fires around Manavgat are indicated as unknown (unknown), unidentified (unidentified fire-raising) according to the data of the General Directorate of Forestry.




The first starting points of the fires lasted until August 12, including large forest areas around it (Figure 8b). Around Antalya, the fires that lasted for the first two weeks of August, neglect, and carelessness at many points, and accidents (electrical lines) were experienced. Fires in Muğla started on 29 July. Fires that started on the Bozburun Peninsula and on the mountainside of Gölgeci (Figure 8c) In August, in addition to these areas, fires broke out in the forest scrub in the north of Gökova Bay (Figure 8d). The fires that lasted for a long time were concentrated in certain areas as visualized in the relevant maps in Figure 8. The fact that the fires could not be brought under control until mid-August caused the ecosystem in this area to be completely lost.



**Figure 8:** Geographical distribution of fires by fire acquired date a) Antalya Province in July, b) Antalya Province in August, c) Muğla Province in July, d) Muğla Province in August.

The wind direction and speed information of the meteorology stations in the fire area between 28 July and 12 August are shown in Figure 7 together with the topographic characteristics of the area. The speed, direction, and frequency of the wind are the most important parameters that affect the climatological characteristics of the environment during the heatwave period. The local wind conditions have increased the effects of the heatwave. According to the wind roses, the stations are generally under the influence of the northerly circulation during the fire period.

The fact that the daily maximum wind speeds are above 10 m/sec is a factor that prepares the Foehn. For example, on July 29, when the forest fires continued, the speed of the northerly wind was 8.2 m/sec in Antalya and 12.1 m/sec at Antalya Akseki station (Figure 9).



**Figure 9:** Wind roses of the stations in the study area during the fire period.

Between 28 July and 5 August 2021, 21 forest fires were experienced in Antalya province and 14 forest fires were experienced in Muğla. The fires in the region lasted until August 12, 2021. Between 28 July and 12 August, 425 football fields (304 hectares) and 34563 football fields (24688 hectares) were burned in Antalya and Muğla, respectively. During the fire period, the heatwave and the increase in the speed of the wind (foehn wind) have made it difficult to fight at fire sites. At the same time, these areas have also made them vulnerable to forest fires caused by negligence, unknown, and vandalism.

## 4 Final considerations

Forest fires occurred in many parts of Turkey for different reasons between 28 July and 12 August 2021. Most of the fires took place in the provinces of Antalya and Muğla. Within the scope of the study, atmospheric conditions were evaluated during the fires caused by negligence, unknown, and vandalism. For this reason, it was focused on the areas of responsibility of Muğla and Antalya Regional Directorates of Forestry. In this context, temperature and wind data of 63 meteorology stations and upper atmosphere observations were examined and evaluated. Homogeneity tests were applied for the temperature data of the stations in the study area in the past periods. The temperature variability/trends of the stations were evaluated from the recording period to the present. The inhomogeneity of the temperatures was due to the change in the 1990s. After the 1990s, the inhomogeneity of temperatures is strongly related to the increasing warming trends. In addition, hourly temperature, pressure, wind direction, and speed data as well as meteorological maps (surface, 700 hPa, 800 hPa, and 500 hPa) were evaluated within the scope of the study between 25 July and 15 August 2021. The upper atmospheric flow was mapped during the forest fire period. Heatwaves at the stations were calculated in the long-term period. According to an assessment by the authorities of Turkey, 34563 sizes of football fields were burned in forest areas within the borders of Muğla Regional Directorate. In the same period, a forest area the size of 425 football fields was burned within the borders of Antalya regional directorate. One of the most important factors causing the development of wildfires and the disruption of the intervention is the heatwave experienced in Antalya and Muğla provinces. At the time of the forest fire, the region is generally dominated by warm air masses. According to the data from Isparta rawinsonde station, the lack of moisture in the air masses at the time of the start of fires caused an increase in the influence of the fire. At the same time, the northerly airflow was flowing through Turkey towards the Basra low pressure. This northerly airflow caused a foehn wind around Muğla and Antalya. The heatwave and strong foehn wind caused increased sensitivity to fire in this area. Atmospheric conditions during the fires caused severe loss of forest areas and biodiversity in these areas.

## 5 References

AFAD, (2021). Natural Event Statistics for 2020 (In Turkish).

Bacciu, V., Srica, C., Spano, D. (2021), Towards a systemic approach to fire risk management, *Environmental Science & Policy*,.

Basagaña, X., Sartini, C., Barrera-Gómez, J., Dadvand, P., Cunillera, J., Ostro, B., et al., 2011. Heat waves and cause-specific mortality at all ages. *Epidemiology* 22, 765–772.

Conrad, V., & Pollak, L. W. (1950). *Method in climatology*. Harvard university press, Cabridge, Massachussetts.

Erlat, E., Turkes, M., Aydin-Kandemir, F. (2021). Observed changes and trends in heatwave characteristics in Turkey since 1950. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 145:137–157.

Fernandes, P.M., A. Luz, and C. Loureiro, 2010: Changes in wildfire severity from maritime pine woodland to contiguous forest types in the mountains of northwestern Portugal. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 260(5), 883-892.

Gönençgil, B. (1990). The effect of Foehn winds on forest fires in Turkey, *Institute of Marine Sciences and Geography, Istanbul University, Bulletin No: 7*, 149-153.

Gönençgil, B. (1993a). Foehn winds at Antalya and their development conditions. *Turkish Geographical Review*, 28; 337-343.

Gönençgil, B. (1993b). The example of Antalya, the blow-dry event that occurs on the ground and high atmosphere on Turkey (In Turkish).

İ.Ü.Deniz Bilm. Ve Coğ. Enst. Doktora Tezi, No:42, İstanbul.

Republic of Turkey Climate Change Action Plan 2011-2023. The Ministry of Environment and Urbanization General Directorate of Environmental Management Climate Change Department, Ankara – 2012.

OGM. (2011). *Climate Change and Forestry: From Models to Practice*. Ankara. Doğa Koruma Merkezi.

OGM (2020). *Forestry Statistics 2020*.

Kovats, R.S., R. Valentini, L.M. Bouwer, E. Georgopoulou, D. Jacob, E. Martin, M. Rounsevell, and J.-F. Soussana, 2014: Europe. In: *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part B: Regional Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Barros, V.R., C.B. Field, D.J. Dokken, M.D. Mastrandrea, K.J. Mach, T.E. Bilir, M. Chatterjee, K.L. Ebi, Y.O. Estrada, R.C. Genova, B. Girma, E.S. Kissel, A.N. Levy, S. MacCracken, P.R. Mastrandrea, and L.L. White (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 1267-1326.

Kuglitsch FG, Toreti A, Xoplaki E, Della-Marta PM, Zerefos C, Türkeş M, Luterbacher J (2010) Heat wave changes in the eastern Mediterranean since 1960. *Geophys Res Lett* 37:L0482.

Nuray, A., Reis Manap, G., Sarıoğlu, K., Sanalan, A.T., Aydın, S. (2020). *Environmental Indicators*, Ministry of Environment and Urbanization General Directorate of Environmental Impact Assessment, Permit and Inspection Republic of Turkey Ministry of Environment, Urbanization and climate Change.NO:49-2, ISBN: 978-625-7076-19-7.

Pausas, J.G. and S. Fernández-Muñoz, 2012: Fire regime changes in the western Mediterranean Basin: from fuel-limited to drought-driven fire regime. *Climatic Change*, 110(1-2), 215-226.

Philip, S. Y., Kew, S. F., van Oldenborgh, G. J., Anslow, F. S., Seneviratne, S. I., Vautard, R., Coumou, D., Ebi, K. L., Arrighi, J., Singh, R., van Aalst, M., Pereira Marghidan, C., Wehner, M., Yang, W., Li, S., Schumacher, D. L., Hauser, M., Bonnet, R., Luu, L. N., Lehner, F., Gillett, N., Tradosky, J., Vecchi, G. A., Rodell, C., Stull, R. B., Howard, R., and Otto, F. E. L.: Rapid attribution analysis of the extraordinary heatwave on the Pacific Coast of the US and Canada June 2021, *Earth Syst. Dynam. Discuss*.

San-Miguel-Ayanz, J., J.M. Moreno, and A. Camia, 2013: Analysis of large fires in European Mediterranean landscapes: lessons learned and perspectives. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 294, 11-22.

Schroeder, W., Oliva, P., Giglio, L., & Csiszar, I. A. (2014). The New VIIRS 375 m active fire detection data product: algorithm description and initial assessment. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 143, 85-96.

Stefanon, M., D'Andrea, F., Drobinski, P. (2012). Heatwave classification over Europe and the Mediterranean region. *Environmental Research Letters*, 7(1): ) 014023 (9pp).

Tedim, F.; Leone, V.; Lovreglio, R.; Xanthopoulos, G.; Chas-Amil, M.-L.; Ganteaume, A.; Efe, R.; Royé, D.; Fuerst-Bjeliš, B.; Nikolov, N.; et al. Forest Fire Causes and Motivations in the Southern and South-Eastern Europe through Experts' Perception and Applications to Current Policies. *Forests* 2022, 13, 562.

Tong, S., Ren, C., Becker, N., (2010). Excess deaths during the 2004 heatwave in Brisbane, Australia. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* 54, 393–400.

Ülgen, H., Zeydanlı, U., Lise, Y. (editors). 2020. Forest and Biodiversity. Nature Conservation Center (In Turkish), Ankara.

Xu, Y., Dadvand, P., Barrera-Gómez, J., Sartini, C., Marí-Dell'Olmo, M., Borrell, C., et al., (2013). Differences on the effect of heat waves on mortality by sociodemographic and urban landscape characteristics. *J. Epidemiol. Community Health* 67, 519–525.

Vilar Del Hoyo, L., Martin, P., Camia, A., 2009. Analysis of human-caused wildfire occurrence and land use changes in France, Spain and Portugal. In: Chuvieco, E., Lasaponara, R. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the VII International EARSeL Workshop – Advances on Remote Sensing and GIS applications in Forest Fire Management*. Potenza (Italy), pp. 85–89.